TRINITARIAN SKELETON KEYS

Constitutional Theology

Creator-Endowed Rights and the Architecture of American Freedom · Volume II–1 · Chapter One, with the outline of the volume

Vincent Melqart Nazaright

Research and composition assisted by Claude (Anthropic)

First written May 2026 · Public edition, November 2026

The government does not create rights. It protects what it did not create and cannot legitimately destroy.

Chapter One: The Sovereignty Chain

There is a specific sentence in the American Declaration of Independence that, properly understood, makes the most radical political claim in the history of human governance. It is not radical in the sense of being extreme or inflammatory. It is radical in the root sense of the word: it goes to the root. The sentence is this:

> *We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.*

The radical move is in four words: endowed by their Creator.

Not endowed by their government. Not granted by consensus of the governed. Not derived from natural law in the secular sense, where natural law is a philosophical inference from observed nature without theological ground. Endowed by their Creator, meaning that the source of human rights is the same as the source of human existence: a source that precedes, exceeds, and cannot be revoked by any human authority whatsoever.

This is the sovereignty chain. It runs: Creator → Individual Soul → Bodily Autonomy → Spiritual Path → Political Rights. Constitutional Theology is the formal study of this chain: its implications, its architecture, its weak points, and its defense.

Why this makes America unique

The French Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen, issued in 1789, sets out its rights "in the presence and under the auspices of the Supreme Being," and calls them natural, inalienable and sacred. But it does not name the Supreme Being as their source, and its Article 3 places all sovereignty in the nation. The Supreme Being is witness to the declaration, not the one who endows. A right whose only ground above the individual is the nation is a right the nation can redefine.

Ireland's 1937 constitution comes closer than most. It opens "In the Name of the Most Holy Trinity, from Whom is all authority," yet its Article 6 says that all powers of government "derive, under God, from the people." The theological reference is real, but the chain of authority runs through the people before it reaches the individual.

The American Declaration does something structurally different. It does not say that God authorizes the government to grant rights. It says that God endows individuals with rights, directly, prior to any government and outside any governmental authority, and that governments are then instituted among men to secure these rights that already exist, "deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed." The government does not create rights. It protects what it did not create and cannot legitimately destroy.

This is not a minor theological nuance. It is the whole architecture of the American political order. Every legitimate act of government in the American system is bounded by a prior reality the

government did not produce. Every illegitimate act (every overreach, every unconstitutional seizure of authority, every violation of the individual's sovereignty before politics) is illegitimate precisely because it violates the sovereignty chain that the Declaration states and the Constitution was designed to protect.

The Declaration as a theological document

Mainstream constitutional scholarship treats the Declaration of Independence as a philosophical and political document: important for understanding the Founders' intentions, but not legally binding the way the Constitution is. Constitutional Theology argues that this framing misses what the document actually is and does.

The Declaration is a theological document in the precise sense: it grounds the entire American political order in a theological claim. The claim is that human beings have a nature, created by a Creator, and that this nature carries certain rights within it. The rights are not added to human beings by governments or societies. They belong to what a human being is. To violate them is not merely illegal. It is an offense against the Creator whose image the human being bears.

This is Constitutional Theology's central thesis: the American founding established the only political system in history that formally grounded individual sovereignty in divine rather than popular authority. That makes the American constitutional order a theological project as much as a political one, and it means that the defense of constitutional rights is, at its deepest level, a theological obligation, not merely a civic preference.

The full sovereignty chain

The sovereignty chain runs in one direction, and it cannot be run in reverse without producing tyranny.

The Creator establishes the nature of the human person. This nature includes consciousness, will and the image of the divine, which grounds the infinite dignity of each individual. No human authority produced this; no human authority can revoke it.

The individual soul receives its nature from the Creator and is therefore sovereign in its own domain. This sovereignty is not earned, not granted, and not contingent on behavior, social usefulness or membership in a group. It belongs to what the person is.

Bodily autonomy follows from individual sovereignty. A being whose soul is sovereign must also be sovereign in the body that soul lives in. What the individual chooses to consume, practice, believe and pursue in the domain of the body, including what medicines, substances, spiritual practices and physical disciplines they take up, belongs to the individual's sovereign domain, not the government's.

The spiritual path follows from bodily autonomy. The individual's relationship to ultimate reality, whatever they understand that to be, is the most intimate domain of individual sovereignty. A government that reaches into the individual's spiritual practice has violated the sovereignty chain at its deepest level.

Political rights, finally, are the outward expression and social protection of the sovereignty that comes before them. Freedom of speech, freedom of assembly, freedom of religion, freedom from unreasonable search and seizure: these are not the foundation of the sovereignty chain. They are its outermost expression. A government that violates these rights is not merely breaking laws. It is attacking the sovereignty chain at the level where it is most reachable and most visible.

Constitutional Theology holds that the most common failure of constitutional interpretation is treating political rights as the foundation rather than the expression: defending the outermost layer while losing track of the deeper chain that gives it its force and its meaning.

The outline of the volume

Chapter 1. The Sovereignty Chain. The radical claim of the Declaration's four words, "endowed by their Creator." Why this makes the American founding structurally unique. The full sovereignty chain from Creator to political rights.

Chapter 2. The Declaration as Theological Document. The founding as a theological event. Natural law with a theological ground. Why rights that come before government cannot be legitimately revoked by government.

Chapter 3. The Constitution as Protective Architecture. The Constitution as a practical structure for protecting a theological claim. The Bill of Rights as the defense of the outermost layer. Its weak points, and how the Founders tried to address them.

Chapter 4. Where Other Systems Differ. The French Declaration, the Irish Constitution, Magna Carta and other candidates examined. Why none reaches the depth of the American formulation.

Chapter 5. Bodily Sovereignty: The Chain at Its Most Personal. Creator-endowed rights running all the way down to the body: what the individual consumes, practices and believes. The constitutional theology of medical freedom, spiritual practice and personal sovereignty.

Chapter 6. The Trinitarian Structure of the American Founding. Father, Son and Spirit mapped onto the constitutional order: the Creator as Source, the individual as the Son-principle, E Pluribus Unum as the Spirit, a community of sovereigns in free communion.

Chapter 7. The Inversion and How to Recognize It. What happens when the sovereignty chain is run in reverse: the state as the source of rights, popular sovereignty as the theological ground, the individual as a subject. Examples past and present.

Chapter 8. Defense of the Chain: What Constitutional Theology Requires. The practical and legal implications. What it means to defend the sovereignty chain at each level. Why constitutional defense is, at its deepest, a theological obligation.

About this edition

This is a public edition of Chapter One of the working manuscript of Volume II–1, with the outline of the whole volume. Chapters Two to Eight are in preparation. A theological and political argument for public discussion, not legal advice.

Sources checked. The Declaration of Independence (1776); the Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen (1789), preamble and Article 3; Bunreacht na hÉireann, the Constitution of Ireland (1937), preamble and Article 6.

Corrected in this edition.

- The working draft said that under the French Declaration "the nation grants the rights." The French text calls the rights natural and sacred and sets them out under the Supreme Being's auspices; what it does is place all sovereignty in the nation without naming any source of the rights above it. The text now says so.
- The Irish constitution is now quoted directly: its preamble ("from Whom is all authority") and Article 6 ("derive, under God, from the people").
- The Declaration's phrase "deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed" is now quoted, so that the chain from Creator to individual to consent is shown whole.

❖ ❖ ❖

Come, Holy Spirit; come, Holy Ghost.